Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	1.1	
	10/767,235	LEONARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BAOQUOC N. TO	2162
All Participants:	icipants: Status of Application: allowance	
(1) <u>BAOQUOC N. TO</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Bobby K, Truong, No 37,499</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 October 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed:		
rejection(s) discussed.		
Claims discussed: 13		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet Part III.		
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Baoquoc N To/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2162	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/767,235

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacts applicant's representative, Bobby K. Truong, No. 37,499 to suggest an amendment include one or more processor and storage having stored instructions which, when executed by the one or more processor cause the one or more processors to perform the operations. This amendment will clarify and put claim 13 into the statutory class.